

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS  
ARE ATTACHED:  
(Please do not remove)

ER 2090X 88

ER 3356X 88

SUBJECT:

The Director of Central Intelligence  
Washington, D.C. 20505

ER 3356X-88

Security Evaluation Office

26 August 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. Robert E. Lamb  
Assistant Secretary, Bureau of  
Diplomatic Security

FROM:   
Director, Security Evaluation Office

25X1

SUBJECT: State Department Detailees

*Bob*

1. We have discussed the assignment of State Department officers to the DCI Security Evaluation Office several times. Your April offer of officers from the Bureau of Diplomatic Security to be detailed to the Security Evaluation Office is still welcome.

2. We exchanged correspondence in May and early June on the qualifications of the officers for the three groups within the office: the Standards Group, the Intelligence Group, and the Inspections Group. The National Security Agency, the Department of Defense, and the Central Intelligence Agency have now nominated people and we have begun the selection process. I have reserved room for State officers in each of the groups.

3. It is important for the State Department to be represented in each of the groups. The experience of working with counterintelligence and security professionals from other agencies will greatly expand the knowledge and perspective of junior and mid-level officers. The Security Evaluation Office will gain by having a State perspective brought to our activities. The attached fact sheet and draft vacancy notices are offered to assist in the recruiting of State Department volunteers for a 2-3 year reimbursable detail assignment in the DCI Security Evaluation Office.

Attachments:  
As stated

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SUBJECT: State Department Detailees

Distribution:

Orig - Adse

1 - ER

1 - HFH Chrono

1 - SEO Chrono

1 - SEO Historical

1 - State File

D/SEO/DCI



(26 Aug 88)

25X1

25 August 1988

SUBJECT: Fact Sheet on the DCI Security Evaluation Office

1. On 4 April 1988, the Director of Central Intelligence established the Security Evaluation Office. This organization was developed with the support of the Secretary of State. The office is a direct outgrowth of the growing security and counterintelligence problems all of us have encountered overseas during the past several years. [redacted]

25X1

2. The Security Evaluation Office is responsible for setting standards to protect our diplomatic missions and their tenant elements from foreign intelligence activity and for monitoring conformance with those standards. [redacted], was appointed as the Director. Mr. Terence J. Shea, a Foreign Service Officer, was appointed as the Deputy Director. The office will have about 60 people, about half of them in place by October.

25X1

The main tasks of the Security Evaluation Office are:

- analyze the vulnerabilities of U.S. foreign missions and the hostile intelligence threat to them;
- set physical, technical and personnel security standards to protect U.S. missions against foreign intelligence activity;
- monitor conformance with those standards; and
- report problems and recommendations to the DCI and the Secretary; keeping the President and Congress informed of the security issues.

25X1

A summary of the functions of each Security Evaluation Office unit follows:

- The Standards Unit will set uniform minimum standards using NSCIDs, DCIDs, etc., as a baseline in such areas as personnel, physical, technical, construction, OPSEC, TSCM, COMPUSEC, COMSEC, and TEMPEST security.
- The Intelligence Unit will do threat and vulnerability assessments based on all-source, all-agency, multidisciplinary intelligence contributions.
- The Inspections Unit will do independent inspections on a selective, event-driven or analysis-driven basis. It will also monitor inspections done by State and the Intelligence Community at U.S. foreign missions and audit those reports. [redacted]

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Two advisory groups are being established in connection with this new office. One will be a sub-Cabinet group to facilitate resolution of issues and communication between the Director of the Security Evaluation Office and the Assistant Secretary for Diplomatic Security and their colleagues in the Intelligence Community. The second group will be a Cabinet-level forum including the Secretary of State and the DCI. [REDACTED]

25X1

3. The Security Evaluation Office will draw its personnel from throughout the entire Intelligence Community and the Department of State to ensure it has the best possible mix of appropriate skills and available experience. All personnel will be on reimbursable detailee assignments and will meet DCI personnel security clearance standards. [REDACTED]

25X1

**Page Denied**

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied

Washington, D.C. 20505

Security Evaluation Office

25 May 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Robert E. Lamb, Assistant Secretary  
Bureau of Diplomatic Security  
Department of State

FROM:

  
Director, Security Evaluation Office

25X1

SUBJECT: Orientation on State Security Issues

*BL*

1. It is my hope that the meeting with the Management Council on 20 May cleared the way for you to join with me in the next stage of developing the State and Security Evaluation Office relationship. Director Webster has informed me of his discussion with Secretary Shultz on 19 May in which they went over the same briefing charts we discussed. Director Webster's understanding of their discussion was that Secretary Shultz approved the Security Evaluation Office concept and assumed that you and I would proceed.

25X1

2. Orientations on the Diplomatic Security Service, on the way the Bureau of Diplomatic Security relates to the management of diplomatic missions, and on your perceptions of the overseas security issues are top priority items on my agenda. I need to understand your perceptions of those security issues and the obstacles to their resolution. You can appreciate my wish to understand all the institutional and bureaucratic issues before deciding on a course of action for the Security Evaluation Office.

25X1

3. My suggestions for an orientation program on State for me would include:

- o Organization of the Bureau of Diplomatic Security and discussion of its component elements and meetings with the principal officers.
- o Discussion of the Diplomatic Security Service and its training program.
- o Training and orientation programs conducted by the Diplomatic Security Service for the Department.
- o Bureau involvement (management and funding) of joint programs such as PTPE and JSPO.

25X1

DOWNGRADE TO CONFIDENTIAL UPON  
REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENT

- o Bureau involvement with the FBO.
- o Types and frequency of inspections conducted by the Bureau.
- o How does DS track compliance with its recommendations?

I would welcome the opportunity to discuss all this with you but recognize that your time is limited. Perhaps this orientation is something Brian Flanagan could take on.

25X1

4. There are a few things you and I should do together, both for cosmetic reasons and for reasons of substance.

- o Briefings/discussions with senior State officials, such as the Assistant Secretaries for regional bureaus.
- o Briefings/discussions with DS senior staff.
- o Briefings/discussions with foreign affairs committee members and staff.
- o Visits to selected high-threat posts.
- o Attend Chief of Missions conferences.
- o Last, but certainly not the least important, is a joint visit to Moscow. It is essential that I visit Moscow soon after the Summit and I would benefit greatly from your counsel.

25X1

5. In anticipation of future visits to posts, a draft cable is attached describing the Security Evaluation Office. My suggestion is that this be sent out as a general information item now with the intention of providing more detail prior to specific visits.

25X1

6. We have discussed staffing of the Security Evaluation Office several times. You will recall that my proposal is for 2-3 year reimbursable details from several organizations and that the Diplomatic Security Service participation is critical to a collegial and constructive relationship between the Department and the Security Evaluation Office. We need your nominations by mid- to late-June when we will receive similar nominations from NSA, DoD, and CIA. Several points we have already discussed are raised here as a reminder:

- o You suggested in April that State should provide up to 20 people and I still agree with that.
- o My goal is to have the SEO up to half-strength of about 30 people by October.
- o We will bring the SEO to full strength by about April 1989.

25X1



7. We have many reasons to be optimistic that the relationship between the Security Evaluation Office and the Bureau of Diplomatic Security will benefit the broader security interests of the United States as well as the particular interests of the Bureau and the Department. I am optimistic that we can develop a collegial approach to our common objectives. Your invitation to the late-June orientation in Georgia is deeply appreciated and I hope we can move quickly on the other items covered in this memorandum.

25X1

25X1

Attachment:  
As stated

Distribution: (ER 2090X-88)

Orig - Addee

- 1 - ER
- 1 - DCI
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - DDO
- 1 - ADDO/CI
- 1 - DDA
- 1 - D/OS
- 1 - SEO Chrono
- 1 - HFH Chrono

D/SEO:

25X1

**Page Denied**

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied